



POTSDAM-INSTITUT FÜR  
KLIMAFOLGENFORSCHUNG

**Originally published as:**

**Reyer, C. P. O., Rammig, A., Brouwers, N., Langerwisch, F. (2015):** Forest resilience, tipping points and global change processes [Editorial]. - *Journal of Ecology*, 103, 1, 1-4

**DOI:** [10.1111/1365-2745.12342](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12342) |

1 **Forest Resilience, Tipping Points and Global Change Processes**

2

3 Christopher P.O. Reyer<sup>1\*</sup>, Anja Rammig<sup>1</sup>, Niels Brouwers<sup>2</sup>, Fanny Langerwisch<sup>1</sup>

4

5 <sup>1</sup>Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Telegrafenberg, PO Box 601203,

6 Potsdam, 14412, Germany

7 \*Corresponding author: tel. + 49 331 28820725, fax + 49 331 288 2695, e-mail:

8 reyer@pik-potsdam.de

9 <sup>2</sup>State Centre of Excellence for Climate Change, Woodland and Forest Health, School of

10 Veterinary and Life Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch, 6150, Western Australia

11 <sup>3</sup>Environment Institute and School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University

12 of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

13 **Summary:**

14 1. Forests around the world are changing as a result of human activity. These  
15 changes have substantial impacts on the resilience of forests, possibly pushing  
16 them towards tipping points.

17 2. The objective of this Special Feature is to present research that fosters the  
18 understanding of forest resilience and potential tipping points under global  
19 change. **This editorial summarizes the key findings of the seven papers in this  
20 Special Feature and puts them in the wider context of resilience thinking.**

21 3. *Synthesis:* The contributions to this Special Feature show that resilience is a  
22 useful concept to understand ecosystem change but that we have to **learn more  
23 about** the mechanisms and feedback loops involved in forest resilience and  
24 potential tipping points. Finally, this Special Feature presents evidence how  
25 resilience thinking is used to better understand and manage degraded forests.

26

27 Keywords: climate change, forest management, mechanism, mortality, paleo-ecology,  
28 plant–climate interactions, regime shifts, seedling recruitment, spatio-temporal scales

## 29 **Introduction**

30 Around the globe, forest ecosystems are increasingly undergoing changes in function,  
31 structure and species composition due to **alterations** in climate, nitrogen deposition,  
32 anthropogenic pressures, and their interactions (e.g. Amazon: Phillips *et al.* 2009; Asia:  
33 Poulter *et al.* 2013; Australia: Boulter 2012; Europe: Lindner *et al.* 2010; USA: Dietze &  
34 Moorcroft 2011). Climate-induced forest dieback in the future cannot be ruled out for  
35 forest ecosystems of global importance such as the Amazon (e.g. Cox *et al.* 2013, Brando  
36 *et al.* 2014) or the boreal forests (e.g. Michaelian *et al.* 2011; Lenton *et al.* 2008).

37 **Here, we define forest resilience according to Scheffer (2009) as “the ability of a forest to**  
38 **absorb disturbances and re-organize under change to maintain similar functioning and**  
39 **structure”.** A tipping point is defined as a threshold at which a relatively small change in  
40 conditions leads to a strong change in the state of a system (cf. Brook *et al.* 2013). For  
41 further discussion of these concepts, see Reyer *et al.* (2015).

42 As recently summarized by the Fifth Assessment Report of the IPCC (IPCC 2013), global  
43 climatic changes such as increasing temperatures, heat extremes, droughts, heavy  
44 precipitation events and altered precipitation patterns **are likely to become more prevalent**  
45 **in the coming decades. These climatic changes** will have substantial impact on the  
46 resilience of forests, possibly pushing forest ecosystems towards tipping points and into  
47 alternate states of vegetation cover (IPCC 2014). **Consequently**, there will be knock-on  
48 effects on the ecosystem services and functions forests provide, for instance by altering  
49 species composition, timber supply and carbon sequestration.

50 Forest ecosystems around the world respond in many different ways to changing  
51 conditions. It is, however, notoriously difficult to know how specific forest ecosystems

52 will react to global change processes, because of their inherent complexity, possible  
53 feedbacks and nonlinearities. Therefore, the objective of this Special Feature is to present  
54 research that fosters the understanding of forest resilience and potential tipping points  
55 under global change. It is based on a series of contributions to a symposium entitled:  
56 “Forest Resilience, Tipping Points and Global Change Processes” held at the INTECOL  
57 2013 conference on the 22-23 of August 2013, London, UK. **This editorial summarizes**  
58 **the key findings of the seven papers in this Special Feature and puts them in the wider**  
59 **context of resilience thinking.**

60 This Special Feature focuses on studies addressing resilience and potential tipping points  
61 in forest ecosystems. These studies are of crucial importance for assessing the impacts of  
62 global change processes on the ecosystem services forests provide to society and for a  
63 deeper ecological understanding of how (eco)systems organise. The focus of this Special  
64 Feature is deliberately broad to reflect the diversity of forest research on the topic that is  
65 undertaken globally. Such broad focus also calls for a variety of methods and spatio-  
66 temporal scales to be covered and hence the papers presented here stretch from plot-level  
67 observational (e.g. Camarero *et al.* 2015; Jakovac *et al.* 2015; Standish *et al.* 2015) or  
68 paleo-ecological (e.g. Cole *et al.* 2015) studies through experimental work (Holmgren *et*  
69 *al.* 2015) to global modelling (Steinkamp & Hickler 2015).

70

### 71 **Spatio-temporal scales of forest resilience and tipping points under global change**

72 In the first contribution to this Special Feature, Reyer *et al.* (2015) synthesize evidence of  
73 changing forests over a wide range of spatio-temporal scales. They stress that it is often  
74 not clear if these changes reduce resilience and/or whether they lead to a tipping point.

75 Moreover, the **authors** conclude that studies bringing together experiments, observations  
76 and models as well as covering interactions across a range of spatio-temporal scales are  
77 needed to further our understanding of forest resilience and tipping points.  
78 The study by Cole *et al.* (2015) highlights the importance of long temporal scales for  
79 assessing forest resilience. Their paleo-ecological study of tropical peat swamp forests  
80 from Malaysian Borneo shows that for at least 2000 years, these ecosystems have been  
81 highly resilient even under various disturbances such as fire or changing climate  
82 variability due to the El Niño Southern Oscillation. Based on peat swamp pollen records,  
83 however, recent anthropogenic disturbances seem to have resulted in a lower forest  
84 pollen production, indicating a reduced resilience of this ecosystem. **Altogether, these**  
85 **two papers show that resilience and scaling issues are intimately linked, which serves as a**  
86 **backdrop for interpreting the remaining papers of this Special Feature as well as existing**  
87 **and future studies on forest resilience.**

## 88 **Drought stress impairing resilience and triggering mortality – from local to global** 89 **scales**

90 Drought-induced forest mortality has been observed to affect forests worldwide (Allen *et*  
91 *al.* 2010) possibly indicating reduced forest resilience. However, the mechanisms of  
92 drought-induced tree mortality are **uncertain and therefore** intensively debated  
93 (McDowell *et al.* 2008; Sala *et al.* 2010). Steinkamp & Hickler (2015) use a dynamic  
94 global vegetation model to estimate the threat of increased forest mortality caused by  
95 drought and heat stress at the global scale. They examine the locations specified by Allen  
96 *et al.* (2010) **that experienced** drought-induced mortality events and simulate the  
97 contribution of drought to tree mortality using the model as a diagnostic tool. Based on

98 observation and simulation results, they conclude that there is no strongly increasing  
99 trend in drought-induced forest mortality globally and consequently the observed  
100 mortality events reported by Allen *et al.* (2010) might not have solely been induced by  
101 droughts. However, Steinkamp & Hickler (2015) also highlight that vegetation models  
102 are known to underrepresent drought-induced mortality. Further model development is  
103 needed to better represent drought and other interacting disturbances in ecological  
104 models.

105 Taking up drought mortality at the very local level, Camarero *et al.* (2015) evaluate  
106 whether critical transitions of tipping points and tree mortality can be detected in a  
107 combination of measurements on tree growth and tree vigour. They investigate three sites  
108 in Spain that suffered a severe drought in 2012. They relate early warning signals to  
109 additional data of tree vigour such as defoliation, nitrogen content of needles, and the  
110 amount of non-structural carbohydrates in heavily defoliated/dying and non-defoliated  
111 trees. Even though they found diverging signals among tree species, the understanding  
112 this study generated may help to derive more general patterns of potential forest die-back,  
113 e.g. for modelling purposes. They indicate that the interaction between growth,  
114 defoliation and sapwood function is potentially an important proxy for the occurrence of  
115 tree death. **These two papers highlight that processes related to drought stress and tree**  
116 **mortality in forests are highly complex and** warrant further attention in future research.

117

### 118 **Tree recruitment as an important mechanisms of forest resilience**

119 **Facilitation and positive feedbacks on tree recruitment represent a** much overlooked  
120 mechanism contributing to resilience is that could lead to vegetation shifts under climate

121 warming. Holmgren *et al.* (2015) conduct a multi-year field experiment in boreal  
122 ecosystems in southern-central Finland to unravel the mechanisms of peatbog transition  
123 to forests – an issue of tremendous importance for the global carbon cycle given the huge  
124 amount of carbon stored in these systems. They describe positive interactions between  
125 shrub cover and tree recruitment: Shrub cover favors tree seedlings and, in turn, higher  
126 tree basal area fosters shrub biomass. Such positive feedback loops could potentially  
127 trigger ecosystem shifts from peatbog to forest. This feedback seemed to be stronger in  
128 warmer years, which could induce larger changes in peatbogs under climate change than  
129 commonly considered. This experiment hence helps to increase our understanding of the  
130 mechanisms leading to alternative stable states in boreal ecosystems (Scheffer *et al.*  
131 2012). **Within the framework of this Special Feature, this paper shows that, for**  
132 **understanding forest resilience and tipping points, it is also crucial to understand the**  
133 **alternative states in which a forest may transition after resilience is exceeded and a**  
134 **tipping point has been passed.**

135

### 136 **Resilience as a concept to understand the functioning of disturbed forests and** 137 **improve their management**

138 While climate change is a prominent issue **for** global sustainability, other global changes  
139 such as land-use changes and invasive species have fundamental impacts on forest  
140 ecosystems as well. With such ongoing and interacting changes, the area of disturbed  
141 ecosystems is increasing and so does the need to restore them. Enhanced understanding  
142 of resilience processes and mechanisms can help to manage degraded ecosystems.  
143 Jacovac *et al.* (2015) investigate the consequences of land-use on the resilience of



144 secondary forests in the Amazon basin. The importance of these secondary forests for  
145 maintaining and recovering nutrient-, water-, and carbon-cycles is often underestimated.  
146 Forest structure was found to recover more slowly with high management intensity, while  
147 species diversity in secondary forests decreased with decreasing area of surrounding old-  
148 growth rainforests. **These findings suggest** an interaction of land-use intensification, loss  
149 of remaining old-growth forests, and increasing dominance of resprouting plants and  
150 lianas leading to an arrested successional state. **This arrested** state would provide less  
151 ecosystem services such as protection from soil erosion, maintenance of water supply and  
152 protection from weeds and pests and could involve higher socioeconomic costs, for  
153 example, to prevent the spread of weeds. Jacovac *et al.* (2015) thus stress the role of  
154 assisted regeneration as well as a focus on faster growing species to maintain the  
155 resilience of the secondary forest.

156 Standish *et al.* (2015), on the other hand, provide one of the few studies to consider the  
157 impacts of changes in climate and restoration practice on seedling establishment of forest  
158 species in south-western Australia. The ability of a forest to regenerate is an important  
159 indicator of forest resilience. Standish *et al.* (2015) looked at the success of seedling  
160 establishment over a period of 19 years at bauxite strip-mine rehabilitation sites, in  
161 relation to climate variability and the restoration practice that was adopted. Restoration  
162 practice was found to be more important than climate variability in terms of the success  
163 of seedling establishment on these sites. Nonetheless, extant climatic changes were found  
164 to have a small but significant negative effect on the number of species that established.  
165 This research shows that adaptation of restoration practices, such as appropriate timing of  
166 seeding, can potentially alleviate the negative effects of changes in climate. Focusing

167 restoration practice on improving seedling establishment might therefore be more  
168 effective compared to improving the health of declining mature trees in areas with  
169 persistent drying and warming conditions (e.g., Brouwers *et al.* 2012) and potentially  
170 elsewhere. These two contributions highlight that the concept of resilience is not just a  
171 theoretical framework, but can equally produce management related recommendations.

172 **Conclusion**

173 As climate, land-use and other global changes advance rapidly, so does resilience  
174 science, increasing our understanding of the mechanism leading to recovery of forests  
175 and/or their transition into alternative states. The contributions to this Special Feature  
176 allow for a few interim conclusions. Firstly, resilience is a useful concept to understand  
177 ecosystem change. Given the multiple direct, indirect and interacting changes that occur  
178 as a result of human activities worldwide, it is crucial to know when changes exceed the  
179 baseline variability and actually threaten to ‘tip’ a forest into an alternative state.  
180 Secondly, this Special Feature has shown that we still need to better understand the  
181 mechanisms and feedback loops involved in forest resilience and tipping points to  
182 increase confidence in model projections. For example, seedling recruitment and drought-  
183 induced mortality, which are treated in more detail in several of the papers of this Special  
184 Feature, are only two examples of important mechanisms contributing to forest resilience.  
185 Thirdly, we conclude that robust indicators of forest resilience are needed and that tipping  
186 points seem to be much harder to detect in forest ecosystems than in aquatic ecosystems  
187 where they are much better studied. Actually, every single study in this Special Feature  
188 still struggles to unravel potential tipping point behaviour and we call for further  
189 discussions and tests if the tipping point concept is suitable for forests. Related to this, we  
190 stress that there is a lack of studies considering effects of extremes compared to mean  
191 climate change (Smith 2011; Reyer *et al.* 2013), which is a key uncertainty in our  
192 understanding of how climate change may impair resilience. Finally, it is encouraging to  
193 see that this Special Feature presents evidence how resilience thinking is used to better

194 understand and enhance the sustainable management of degraded forests in this time of  
195 rapid environmental change.

196 **Acknowledgements**

197 We are grateful to the British Ecological Society and the editorial board of the Journal of  
198 Ecology for supporting this Special Feature. Especially David Gibson and Lauren Sandhu  
199 were incredibly helpful during this process. We are also indebted to the organizers of the  
200 INTECOL 2013 conference at which the idea of this Special Feature originated in the  
201 session called “Forest Resilience, Tipping Points and Global Change Processes”. The  
202 presenters and the audience of this session are acknowledged for the interesting and  
203 informative discussions. **Two anonymous reviewers are acknowledged for helpful**  
204 **comment on an earlier version of this paper.**

205 **References**

- 206 Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier,  
207 M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D.D., Hogg, E.H., Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R.,  
208 Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S.W., Semerci, A.,  
209 & Cobb, N. (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals  
210 emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **259**, 660-  
211 684.
- 212 Boulter, S., ed. (2012) A Preliminary Assessment of the Vulnerability of Australian  
213 Forests to the Impacts of Climate Change - Synthesis, pp 254. National Climate Change  
214 Adaptation Research Facility, Gold Coast, Australia.
- 215 Brando, P.M., Balch, J.K., Nepstad, D.C., Morton, D.C., Putz, F.E., Coe, M.T., Silvério,  
216 D., Macedo, M.N., Davidson, E.A., Nóbrega, C.C., Alencar, A., & Soares-Filho, B.S.  
217 (2014) Abrupt increases in Amazonian tree mortality due to drought-fire interactions.  
218 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **111**, 6347-6352
- 219 Brook, B.W., Ellis, E.C., Perring, M.P., Mackay, A.W., & Blomqvist, L. (2013) Does the  
220 terrestrial biosphere have planetary tipping points? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **28**,  
221 396–401.
- 222 Brouwers, N.C., Mercer, J., Lyons, T., Poot, P., Veneklaas, E., & Hardy, G. (2012)  
223 Climate and landscape drivers of tree decline in a Mediterranean ecoregion. *Ecology and*  
224 *Evolution*, **3**, 67-79.
- 225 Camarero, J.J., Gazol, A., Sangüesa-Barreda, G., Oliva, J., & Vicente-Serrano, S.M.  
226 (2015) To die or not to die: early-warning signals of drought-induced tree die-off.  
227 *Journal of Ecology*.
- 228 Cole, L., Bhagwat, S., & Willis, K. (2015) Long-term disturbance dynamics and  
229 resilience of tropical peat swamp forests. *Journal of Ecology*.
- 230 Cox, P.M., Pearson, D., Booth, B.B., Friedlingstein, P., Huntingford, C., Jones, C.D., &  
231 Luke, C.M. (2013) Sensitivity of tropical carbon to climate change constrained by carbon  
232 dioxide variability. *Nature*, **494**, 341-344.
- 233 Dietze, M.C. & Moorcroft, P.R. (2011) Tree mortality in the eastern and central United  
234 States: patterns and drivers. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 3312-3326.
- 235 Holmgren, M., Lin, C.-Y., Murillo, J., Nieuwenhuis, A., Penninkhof, J., Sanders, N., Van  
236 Bart, T., Van Veen, H., Vasander, H., Vollebregt, M., & Limpens, J. (2015) Positive  
237 shrub-tree interactions facilitate woody encroachment in boreal peatlands. *Journal of*  
238 *Ecology*.
- 239 IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of  
240 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on  
241 Climate Change Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New  
242 York, NY, USA.

243 IPCC (2014). Summary for Policymakers. In *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation,*  
244 *and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group*  
245 *II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds  
246 C.B. Field, V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M.  
247 Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S.  
248 MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea & L.L. White), pp. 1-32. Cambridge University Press,  
249 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

250 Jakovac, A.C., Peña-Claros, M., Kuyper, T., & Bongers, F. (2015) Loss of secondary-  
251 forest resilience by land-use intensification in the Amazon. *Journal of Ecology*.

252 Lenton, T.M., Held, H., Kriegler, E., Hall, J.W., Lucht, W., Rahmstorf, S., &  
253 Schellnhuber, H.J. (2008) Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system. *Proceedings of*  
254 *the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**, 1786-1793.

255 Lindner, M., Maroschek, M., Netherer, S., Kremer, A., Barbat, A., Garcia-Gonzalo, J.,  
256 Seidl, R., Delzon, S., Corona, P., Kolström, M., Lexer, M.J., & Marchetti, M. (2010)  
257 Climate change impacts, adaptive capacity, and vulnerability of European forest  
258 ecosystems. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **259**, 698-709.

259 McDowell, N., Pockman, W.T., Allen, C.D., Breshears, D.D., Cobb, N., Kolb, T., Plaut,  
260 J., Sperry, J., West, A., Williams, D.G., & Yepez, E.A. (2008) Mechanisms of plant  
261 survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb  
262 to drought? *New Phytologist*, **178**, 719-739.

263 Michaelian, M., Hogg, E.H., Hall, R.J., & Arsenault, E. (2011) Massive mortality of  
264 aspen following severe drought along the southern edge of the Canadian boreal forest.  
265 *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 2084-2094.

266 Phillips, O.L., Aragão, L.E.O.C., Lewis, S.L., Fisher, J.B., Lloyd, J., López-González, G.,  
267 Malhi, Y., Monteagudo, A., Peacock, J., Quesada, C.A., van der Heijden, G., Almeida,  
268 S., Amaral, I.d., Arroyo, L., Aymard, G., Baker, T.R., Bánki, O., Blanc, L., Bonal, D.,  
269 Brando, P., Chave, J., de Oliveira, A.T.C.A., Cardozo, N.D.v., Czimczik, C.I.,  
270 Feldpausch, T.R., Freitas, M.A., Gloor, E., Higuchi, N., Jiménez, E., Lloyd, G., Meir, P.,  
271 Mendoza, C., Morel, A., Neill, D.A., Nepstad, D., Patiño, S., Peñuela, M.C., Prieto, A.,  
272 Ramírez, F., Schwarz, M., Silva, J., Silveira, M., Thomas, A.S., Steege, H.t., Stropp, J.,  
273 Vásquez, R., Zelazowski, P., Dávila, E.A., Andelman, S., Andrade, A., Chao, K.-J.,  
274 Erwin, T., Di Fiore, A., C. E.d.H., Keeling, H., Killeen, T.J., Laurance, W.F., Cruz,  
275 A.P.a., Pitman, N.C.A., Vargas, P.N., Ramírez-Angulo, H., Rudas, A., Salamão, R.,  
276 Silva, N., Terborgh, J., & Torres-Lezama, A. (2009) Drought Sensitivity of the Amazon  
277 Rainforest. *Science*, **323**, 1344-1347.

278 Poulter, B., Pederson, N., Liu, H., Zhu, Z., D'Arrigo, R., Ciais, P., Davi, N., Frank, D.,  
279 Leland, C., Myneni, R., Piao, S., & Wang, T. (2013) Recent trends in Inner Asian forest  
280 dynamics to temperature and precipitation indicate high sensitivity to climate change.  
281 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **178-179**, 31-45.

282 Reyer, C., Leuzinger, S., Rammig, A., Wolf, A., Bartholomeus, R.P., Bonfante, A., de  
283 Lorenzi, F., Dury, M., Gloning, P., Abou Jaoudé, R., Klein, T., Kuster, T.M., Martins,  
284 M., Niedrist, G., Riccardi, M., Wohlfahrt, G., de Angelis, P., de Dato, G., François, L.,

- 285 Menzel, A., & Pereira, M. (2013) A plant's perspective of extremes: Terrestrial plant  
286 responses to changing climatic variability. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 75-89.
- 287 Reyer, C., Brouwers, N., Rammig, A., Brook, B., Epila, J., Grant, R., Holmgren, M.,  
288 Langerwisch, F., Leuzinger, S., Lucht, W., Medlyn, B., Pfeifer, M., Steinkamp, J.,  
289 Vanderwel, M., Verbeeck, H., & Vilella, D. (2015) Forest resilience and tipping points at  
290 different spatio-temporal scales: approaches and challenges. *Journal of Ecology*.
- 291 Sala, A., Piper, F., & Hoch, G. (2010) Physiological mechanisms of drought-induced tree  
292 mortality are far from being resolved. *New Phytologist*, **186**, 274-281.
- 293 Scheffer, M. (2009) *Critical Transitions in Nature and Society*. Princeton University  
294 Press.
- 295 Scheffer, M., Hirota, M., Holmgren, M., Van Nes, E.H., & Chapin, F.S. (2012)  
296 Thresholds for boreal biome transitions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
297 *Sciences*, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1219844110.
- 298 Smith, M.D. (2011) An ecological perspective on extreme climatic events: a synthetic  
299 definition and framework to guide future research. *Journal of Ecology*, **99**, 656-663.
- 300 Standish, R., Daws, M., Gove, A., Didham, R., Grigg, A., Koch, J., & Hobbs, R. (2015)  
301 Long-term data suggest jarrah-forest establishment at restored mine sites is resistant to  
302 climate variability. *Journal of Ecology*.
- 303 Steinkamp, J. & Hickler, T. (2015) Is drought-induced forest dieback globally  
304 increasing? *Journal of Ecology*.