



POTSDAM-INSTITUT FÜR  
KLIMAFOLGENFORSCHUNG

**Originally published as:**

[Pradhan, P.](#) (2023): Saving food mitigates climate change. - Nature Food, 4, 3, 211-212.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00720-1>

2 **Saving food mitigates climate change**

3

4 *Prajal Pradhan*<sup>1,2\*</sup>

5

6 **Life Cycle Assessment reveals that the emissions from the treatment and disposal of**  
7 **lost and wasted food account for around half of greenhouse gas emissions from food**  
8 **systems. Therefore, saving food is essential to reduce food systems' environmental**  
9 **impacts.**

10

11 <sup>1</sup>Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Member of the Leibniz  
12 Association, P.O. Box 60 12 03, D-14412 Potsdam, Germany.

13 <sup>2</sup>Bauhaus Earth, Marienpark 16, Berlin, Germany.

14 e-mail: [pradhan@pik-potsdam.de](mailto:pradhan@pik-potsdam.de)

15

16 \* Corresponding Author.

17

18

19 Limiting global warming below 2°C by 2100 requires transforming food systems<sup>1</sup>, which  
20 currently contributes to a third of global anthropogenic GHG emissions<sup>2</sup>. Various  
21 response options are available to make this transformation happen<sup>3</sup>. One of the responses  
22 from the food system is to reduce food loss and waste, known together as food wastage,  
23 which occurs at various stages of the supply chain. Food loss refers to reduced edible  
24 food during production, post-harvest, and processing. Food waste refers to food discarded  
25 by consumers, retailers, or food service providers, which would increase in the future  
26 under business as usual<sup>4</sup>. Although many studies highlighted the GHG emission reduction  
27 potential of saving food, a holistic understanding of this potential considering the overall  
28 life cycle of food, i.e., from pre-production to end-of-life (Figure 1), is missing<sup>5</sup>.

29

30 Writing in *Nature Food*, Zhu and colleagues<sup>6</sup> fill this knowledge gap by comprehensively  
31 estimating the GHG emissions associated with food wastage in 2017. They constructed a  
32 material balance model for food systems covering 54 food commodities and four major  
33 categories at a country level using food supply data from FAOSTAT<sup>7</sup> and considering  
34 food wastage at nine stages of the supply chain<sup>8</sup>. Food wastage is responsible for  
35 embodied emissions, i.e., from pre-harvest and logistics, and emissions during its  
36 treatment or disposal, i.e., end-of-life. Therefore, Zhu and colleagues synthesized data for  
37 embodied emissions based on a comprehensive literature review. They applied the Life  
38 Cycle Assessment approach to evaluate emissions from the treatment and disposal of lost  
39 and wasted food. Besides estimating food wastage emissions, they investigated strategies  
40 to reduce emissions under various policy and technological interventions.

41

42 The study estimates that the embodied and end-of-life emissions of food wastage were  
43 ~9.3 Gt CO<sub>2</sub> eq/yr, or around half of the food systems emissions<sup>6</sup>. This estimate is more  
44 than double the previous one of 4.4 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>eq/yr for 2011<sup>5</sup>. This difference is due to the  
45 consideration of end-of-life emissions and increased food production to nourish the

46 growing population. Saving food avoids these emissions but varies worldwide. Four  
47 countries, i.e., Brazil, China, India, and the United States (US), are responsible for ~40%  
48 of these avoidable emissions due to their large populations, dietary habits, or inefficient  
49 food systems.

50  
51 Zhu and colleagues<sup>6</sup> highlight significant differences in GHG emissions due to food  
52 wastage across various supply chain stages. Food discarded by consumers embodies  
53 consists of ~35.5% of the total embodied emissions of food wastage. These emissions are  
54 higher than embodied emissions in food thrown by wholesalers, retailers, and traders,  
55 which are ~11% of the total embodied emissions of food wastage. Reduced edible food in  
56 the other stages, e.g., harvest, storage, processing, and transport, are responsible for the  
57 rest of the emissions.

58  
59 Looking at the GHG emissions from the supply chain, the study estimates that pre-  
60 harvest stage emissions of food lost and wasted are mainly related to food production  
61 activities, e.g., land use, crop cultivation, synthetic fertilizers, and animal husbandry.  
62 Logistic activities of food wastage, mainly from food processing and transport, are  
63 responsible for the GHG emissions of 0.09 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>eq/yr. These emissions are only a tiny  
64 fraction of the total emissions from logistic activities of food systems (~2.65 Gt  
65 CO<sub>2</sub>eq/yr), because of no logistic needs for lost or wasted food across the supply chain.  
66 Due to a higher consumption level<sup>4</sup>, food wastage emissions at pre-harvest and logistic  
67 stages increase with a per capita gross domestic product at a country scale. The treatment  
68 and disposal of lost and wasted food generates ~2.8 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>eq/yr of GHG emissions.  
69 Interestingly, these end-of-life emissions are lower in countries with a higher per capita  
70 gross domestic product due to the availability of advanced and environmental-friendly  
71 technologies for waste treatment.

72  
73 The findings present strategies to reduce emissions due to food wastage. Besides saving  
74 food at various supply chain stages, these strategies include dietary shifts toward a low  
75 consumption of animal-source foods and treatment and disposal technologies for food  
76 wastage. During end-of-life, plant-based foods emit more GHGs than animal-source  
77 foods. Still, animal-source foods generate much higher GHG emissions than plant-based  
78 foods during production and logistics. Therefore, dietary shifts towards plant-based diets  
79 also reduce GHG emissions associated with food wastage. Similarly, food wastage  
80 emissions can be reduced by proper treatment, e.g., composting and anaerobic digestion,  
81 instead of disposal in landfills and dumping sites (Figure 1). For example, a 50% increase  
82 in market shares of these technologies can decrease food wastage emissions by ~15%.

83  
84 As shown by Zhu and colleagues<sup>6</sup>, a holistic understanding of food wastage would also  
85 support better strategies to prioritize food system responses across supply chain stages.  
86 For example, high-income countries could focus on reducing food waste and promoting  
87 plant-based diets. In contrast, low-income countries could prioritize avoiding food loss  
88 and proper waste treatment using appropriate technologies<sup>5</sup>. Although this study enriches  
89 our understanding of food wastage, some open questions remain. For example, due to  
90 increased food trade, it is essential to identify the roles of producer, consumer, and  
91 intermediary trading countries in reducing food wastage as in agricultural emissions<sup>9</sup>.

92

93 Saving food mitigates climate more than previously thought. However, halving food  
94 waste, as targeted by Sustainable Development Goals, is not enough. Avoiding GHG  
95 emissions from food wastage requires a combination of responses, and these responses  
96 should contribute beyond halving food wastage to rescue Sustainable Development Goals  
97 from failing<sup>10</sup>.

98

## 99 **References**

- 100 1. Schmidt-Traub, G., Obersteiner, M. & Mosnier, A. Fix the broken food system in  
101 three steps. *Nature* **569**, 181–183 (2019).
- 102 2. Crippa, M. *et al.* Food systems are responsible for a third of global anthropogenic  
103 GHG emissions. *Nat. Food* **2**, 198–209 (2021).
- 104 3. Rosenzweig, C. *et al.* Climate change responses benefit from a global food system  
105 approach. *Nat. Food* **1**, 1–4 (2020).
- 106 4. Hiç, C., Pradhan, P., Rybski, D. & Kropp, J. P. Food Surplus and Its Climate  
107 Burdens. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **50**, 4269–4277 (2016).
- 108 5. Mbow, C. *et al.* Food Security. in *Climate Change and Land An IPCC Special*  
109 *Report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land*  
110 *management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems* 1–  
111 200 (IPCC, 2019).
- 112 6. Zhu, J. *et al.* Cradle-to-grave carbon emissions from food loss and waste reach half  
113 of total carbon emissions from food system : quantification and interventions. *Nat.*  
114 *Food* 1–33 (2023).
- 115 7. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations statistical database  
116 (FAOSTAT). (2022).
- 117 8. FAO. Food Loss and Waste Database. [https://www.fao.org/platform-food-loss-](https://www.fao.org/platform-food-loss-waste/flw-data/en/)  
118 [waste/flw-data/en/](https://www.fao.org/platform-food-loss-waste/flw-data/en/) (2022).
- 119 9. Foong, A., Pradhan, P., Frör, O. & Kropp, J. P. Adjusting agricultural emissions  
120 for trade matters for climate change mitigation. *Nat. Commun.* 1–32 (2022).
- 121 10. Pradhan, P. A threefold approach to rescue the 2030 Agenda from failing. *Natl.*  
122 *Sci. Rev.* nwad015 (2023).

123

## 124 **Ethics declaration**

125 The author declares no competing interests.

126

127



128

129 **Figure 1. Food waste is being treated in anaerobic digestion in Dharan, Nepal, to**  
130 **generate biogas for human mobility.** Foods are mainly dumped in landfills, emitting  
131 methane, a greenhouse gas even more potent than carbon dioxide, while decaying. Waste  
132 treatment using anaerobic digestion can capture and reuse these methane emissions.  
133 Credit/Copyright: Photography by Sagar Kafle from Department of Agricultural  
134 Engineering, Purwanchal Campus, Institute of Engineering, Tribhuvan University,  
135 Dharan, Nepal.

