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Abstract

In this paper, the path integral solutions for a general $n$-dimensional stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with $\alpha$-stable Lévy noise are derived and verified. Firstly, the governing equations for the solutions of $n$-dimensional SDEs under the excitation of $\alpha$-stable Lévy noise are obtained through the characteristic function of stochastic processes. Then, the short-time transition probability density function of the path integral solution is derived based on the Chapman-Kolmogorov-Smoluchowski (CKS) equation and the characteristic function, and its correctness is demonstrated by proving that it satisfies the governing equation of the solution of the SDE, which is also called the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation. Besides, illustrative examples are numerically considered for highlighting the feasibility of the proposed path integral method, and the pertinent Monte Carlo solution is also calculated to show its correctness and effectiveness.
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In almost all fields, such as physics, chemistry, biology, meteorology, finance, and engineering, uncertainty is inevitable, and the system can be modeled and characterized by stochastic differential equation (SDE) [1,2]. One of the most important examples is the Langevin equation, which describes how a system evolves when subjected to both deterministic and random forces. The earliest and classical application is Brownian motion, which models the motion of Brownian particles in fluid under random impacts of surrounding fluid molecules. Afterwards, the systems driven by Gaussian white noise (or Brownian motion) make extensive and in-depth progress in both theory and dynamical behaviours [3]. In addition, systems under Poisson and Lévy noise excitations have also received attention due to the diversity of random factors [4–6]. For the response uncertainty of these systems, probability and statistics are powerful tools for system analysis.

Starting from the SDE, we can simulate the sample path of the equation, and then obtain the mean, variance or approximate the probability density function of the response by statistical methods. Besides, it is also possible to first derive the equation satisfied by the probability density function of the response of the SDE, which is called the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov (FPK) equation. The FPK equation for systems under Gaussian noise, Poisson and $\alpha$-stable Lévy noise are second-order, integral-differential and fractional partial differential equations, respectively [7–9]. As partial differential equation, the FPK equation can be solved by finite difference method, finite element method and spectral method, etc. It can obviously be solved by the path integral method specific to random problems, which is a functional integration that includes all random sample paths. The path integral solution is both the solution of the FPK equation and the probability density function of the solution of the SDE. Compared with the statistical methods, the path integral method is not affected by the number of sample paths, and has higher precision, especially in the tail part of the probability density function, which is very useful for the study of the response of random systems and rare events.

The earliest use of the path integral method for response of SDE goes back to 1983, when Wehner and Wolf presented an efficient numerical path integral method to solve nonlinear governing equation of SDE with Gaussian noise [10]. Extension of the path integral
method to restrictive stochastic processes and time dependent coefficients case shows their wide applicability [11]. After that, the path integral method under Gaussian noise excitation has made a series of research progress in system response, algorithm improvement, etc. [12–16]. In recent years, it has also even been extended to the case of non-Gaussian noise excitation [17–21]. The path integral method for SDE under α-stable Lévy noise is now available for one-dimensional and single-degree-of-freedom(SDOF) system. But an open question is whether it can be directly generalized to n-dimensional systems like the Monte Carlo method, which seems to be currently the only method for solving higher-dimensional SDEs under Lévy noise excitation and obviously applicable to systems of arbitrary dimensions? In this paper, we provide a more general path integral formulation for a general n-dimensional SDE under α-stable Lévy excitation.

Considering the following n-dimensional Itô SDE

\[
\begin{align*}
X(t) &= f(X(t)) + g(X(t))\xi(t), \\
(X(t_0) &= x_0, )
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

here \(X(t) = [X_1(t), X_2(t), \cdots, X_n(t)]^T\) is the n-dimensional state vector, \(f(X(t))\) is an n-dimensional vector function \(\{f_1(X(t)), f_2(X(t)), \cdots, f_n(X(t))\}^T\) and \(g(X(t)) = \text{diag}(g_1(X(t)), g_2(X(t)), \cdots, g_n(X(t)))\) is the initial condition, which can be deterministic or stochastic. \(\xi(t) = [\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t), \cdots, \xi_n(t)]^T\) and \(\xi_α(t)(j = 1, 2, \cdots, n)\) are mutually independent symmetric α-stable Lévy processes, which are the formal derivative of symmetric α-stable Lévy process \(L_α(t)\). \(L_α(t) \sim \sigma_α(\sigma_α^{1/α}, 0, 0)\) with characteristic function \(Z(k) = \exp[i\xi(k)] = \exp(-\delta D_k|k|^{α})\), where \(D_k = \sigma_α^{1/α}\) is the noise intensity. And, the characteristic function for the increment of \(L_α(t)\) is \(\delta Z_{k\alpha}(k, \delta t) = \exp \left(-\delta t D_k|k|^{α}\right)\) (\(\delta t\) is the time increment), which corresponds to the distribution \(S_α(\sigma_α^{1/α}, 0, 0)\).

For the Markov Process, the Chapman-Kolmogorov-Smoluchowski (CKS) equation holds. Namely, for any \(\delta t > 0\)

\[
p(x, t + \delta t|x_0, t_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x, t + \delta t|y, t)p(y, t|x_0, t_0)dy.
\]

(2)

where \(p(x, t + \delta t|x_0, t_0)\) and \(p(y, t|x_0, t_0)\) are probability density functions at time \(t + \delta t\) and \(t\), with the initial condition \(X(t_0) = x_0\). \(p(x, t + \delta t|y, t)\) is the transition probability density function from the position \(y\) at the time \(t\) to the position \(x\) at the time \(t + \delta t\).

In the above CKS equation, the transition probability density function \(p(x, t + \delta t|y, t)\) can be represented as

\[
p(x, t + \delta t|y, t) = F^{-1}[\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp \left[-i k^T (x - y)\right] dk
\]

(3)

Among which, \(F^{-1}\) is the Fourier inverse transformation and \(k\) is the conjugate variable of \(x - y\). In the above equation, \(\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)\) and \(\delta k\) are the characteristic function and cumulant function of the transition probability density function \(p(x, t + \delta t|y, t)\).

Substituting Eq. (3) into Eq. (2), we get

\[
p(x, t + \delta t|x_0, t_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp \left[-i k^T (x - y)\right] p(y, t|x_0, t_0)dkdy.
\]

(4)

Letting \(\delta t = 0\), Eq. (4) reduces to

\[
p(x, t|x_0, t_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp \left[-i k^T (x - y)\right] p(y, t|x_0, t_0)dkdy.
\]

(5)

Using Eq. (4) minus Eq. (5), we get

\[
p(x, t + \delta t|x_0, t_0) - p(x, t|x_0, t_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp \left[-i k^T (x - y)\right] \left[\exp [\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)] - 1\right] \]

\[
p(y, t|x_0, t_0)dkdy.
\]

(6)

Besides, the characteristic function for the increment of the solution \(X(t)\) of the SDE (1) is

\[
\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t) = \exp [\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)] = \exp [i k^T (X(t) + \delta t - X(t))|X(t) = y] = \exp [i k^T (f(y, t)\delta t + g(y)L)|X(t) = y] = \exp [i k^T f(y, t)\delta t][\exp(ik^Tg(y)L)]
\]

\[
= \exp [i k^T f(y, t)\delta t] \left[ - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta t D_j \left[ g_j |k|^{\alpha} \right] \right].
\]

(7)

Thus, the cumulant generating function \(\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)\) is obtained as

\[
\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t) = i k^T f(y, t)\delta t - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta t D_j \left[ g_j |k|^{\alpha} \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} i k_j f_j(y, t)\delta t - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta t D_j \left[ g_j |k|^{\alpha} \right].
\]

(8)

The inverse of the cumulant generating function is

\[
\frac{1}{\delta t} \delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\delta t} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j f_j(y, t)\delta t - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta t D_j \left[ g_j |k|^{\alpha} \right] \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \delta X_j}{\partial \delta t} (x - y) f_j(y, t) \delta t
\]

\[
- \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \delta X_j}{\partial \delta t} (x - y) g_j |k|^{\alpha} D_j \delta t.
\]

(9)

Based on the inverse Fourier transformation of cumulant generating function \(\frac{1}{\delta t} \delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)\), Eq. (8) can be recast into

\[
p(x, t + \delta t|x_0, t_0) - p(x, t|x_0, t_0)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp \left[-i k^T (x - y)\right] \left[\exp [\delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t)] - 1\right] \]

\[
p(y, t|x_0, t_0)dkdy
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp \left[-i k^T (x - y)\right] \delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t) + O(\delta t^2) \]

\[
p(y, t|x_0, t_0)dkdy
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \exp \left[-i k^T (x - y)\right] \delta X_k(k, \delta t|y, t) + O(\delta t^2) \]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \delta X_j}{\partial \delta t} (x - y) f_j(y, t) \delta t
\]

\[
- \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \delta X_j}{\partial \delta t} (x - y) g_j |k|^{\alpha} D_j \delta t \right] \]

\[
p(y, t|x_0, t_0)dkdy
\]
\[
= -\delta t \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ f_j(x, t)p(x, t|x_0, t_0) \right] \\
+ \delta t \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left| g_j \right|^{\alpha} p(x, t|x_0, t_0) \right]. \tag{10}
\]

Dividing both sides of the Eq. (10) by \(\delta t\) and taking the limit, we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t|x_0, t_0) = \lim_{\delta t \to 0^+} \frac{p(x, t + \delta t|x_0, t_0) - p(x, t|x_0, t_0)}{\delta t} = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ f_j(x, t)p(x, t|x_0, t_0) \right] \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left| g_j \right|^{\alpha} p(x, t|x_0, t_0) \right]. \tag{11}
\]

with the initial condition
\[
p(x, t|x_0, t_0) = \delta(x - x_0) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta(x_j - x_{0,j}). \tag{12}
\]

And the above fractional FPK equation (11) deduces to classical second-order FPK equation corresponding to SDE excited by Gaussian white noise when \(\alpha = 2\).

Besides, the fractional operator \(\partial^\alpha /\partial t^\alpha\) in the above derivation is the Riesz fractional derivative
\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} f(x) = \begin{cases}
\frac{D^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} f(x) + D^\alpha f(x) & \alpha \neq 1 \\
-\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{f(\xi)}{(x-\xi)^{1-\alpha}} d\xi, & \alpha = 1
\end{cases},
\]

where
\[
D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{f(\xi)}{(x-\xi)^{n-\alpha}} d\xi,
\]

\[
D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi-x)^{n-\alpha}} d\xi,
\]

are the left and right Riemann-Liouville derivatives with \(n = 1\) for \(0 < \alpha < 1\), and \(n = 2\) for \(1 < \alpha < 2\).

This part mainly presents the derivation of the path integral solution for the \(n\)-dimensional SDE under Lévy noise excitation, and its correctness is verified by proving that the corresponding fractional FPK equation is satisfied.

The transition probability density function \(p(x, t + \delta t|x, t)\), used in the path integral solution, can be obtained through the SDE (1). Taking the trajectories starting from the point \(\bar{x}\) from the whole trajectories of the response processes \(X(t)\). The trajectories (labelled as \(X(\rho)\)) between \([t, t + \delta t]\) satisfy the following SDE
\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{X}(\rho) = f(\dot{X}(\rho), \rho) + g(\dot{X}(\rho)) \xi(t + \rho), \\
X(0) = \bar{x},
\end{cases} \tag{15}
\]

where \(X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\) is a deterministic initial condition. Therefore, the transition probability density function of Eq. (1) coincides with the unconditional probability density function of the response process \(X(\rho)\) of Eq. (15) evaluated in \(t\). Namely, \(p(x, t + \delta t|x, t) = p(\bar{x}, t)\). Assuming \(\delta t\) is small enough, \(X(\delta t)\) can be approximated as
\[
\dot{X}(\delta t) = \dot{\bar{x}} + f(\dot{x}(t), t) + g(\dot{x}(t)) \delta t.
\]

Considering the independence of \(L_{a_j}(t)\) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\), \(\dot{X}_j(\delta t)\) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) is
\[
\dot{X}_j(\delta t) = \delta x_j + f_j(\dot{x}(t)) \delta t + g_j(\dot{x}(t)) dL_{a_j}(t).
\]

For the case \(g_j(\dot{x}(t)) = 0\), \(\delta x_j = \delta x_j + f_j(\dot{x}) \delta t\) is a deterministic value. We have
\[
p(\bar{x}, t) = \delta(x_j - \delta x_j - f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t). \tag{18}
\]

When \(g_j(\dot{x}, t) \neq 0\), due to \(dL_{a_j}(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(\sigma_{a_j}^2 \delta t^{1/\alpha}, 0, 0)\), then \(\dot{X}_j(\delta t) \sim \mathcal{N}(\left| g_j \right| \delta t^{1/\alpha}, 0, \delta x_j + f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t)\). Thus the probability density function is
\[
p(\bar{x}, t) = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} Z_{X_j}(\delta t) \right] = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta(x_j - \delta x_j - f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t) \right]. \tag{19}
\]

To obtain the path integral solution of the SDE (1), we need to specify the matrix \(g(\dot{x}, t)\). Considering the independence of \(L_{a_j}(t)\) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\), we can always assume that the first \(r < n\) values of \(g(\dot{x}, t)\) are zero and the others are nonzero. Namely, \(g(\dot{x}, t) = \text{diag}(0, 0, \ldots, 0, g_{r+1}(X(t), t), \ldots, g_{n}(X(t)))\) with \(g_{r+1}(X(t)) \neq 0\). Then the transition probability density function can be obtained as
\[
p(x, t + \delta t|x, t) = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} Z_{X_j}(\delta t) \right] = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta(x_j - \delta x_j - f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t) \right]. \tag{20}
\]

Letting \(n = 1, r = 0\), the above formula reduces to the short-time transition probability density function of the one-dimensional SDE. And it degenerate into the SDOF case when \(n = 2, r = 1\). Especially, when \(\alpha = 2\) the above transition probability density function can be explicitly integrated as
\[
p(x, t + \delta t|x, t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \delta t D_j}} \exp \left( \frac{-\left(x - x_j - f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t\right)^2}{4\delta t D_j} \right) \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta(x_j - \delta x_j - f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t) \right]. \tag{21}
\]

After getting the transition probability density function, the path integral solution can be easily obtained by the CKS equation
\[
p(x, t + \delta t|x, t) = \int_{x_0}^{x} p(x, t + \delta t|x, t) dL_{a_j}(t) \text{ in which } p(x, t + \delta t|x, t) \text{ is shown in formula (20). Starting from the known initial probability density function } p(x, t_0) \text{, we get the probability density function } p(x, t_1) \text{ at the next time instant } t_1 = t_0 + \delta t. \text{ Then the probability density function } p(x, t_2), \ldots \text{ are obtained by recursively invoking the CKS equation until a time } t \text{ that we want. Dividing the time interval } [t_0, t] \text{ into } N \text{ parts, with the time step } \delta t = (t - t_0)/N. \text{ Then, starting from the initial probability density function } p(x_0, t_0), \text{ the probability density function } p(x, t_0) \text{ can be calculated by}
\]
\[
p(x, t) = \int_{x_0}^{x} p(x, t|x_0, t_0) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \int_{t_0}^{t} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \delta t D_j}} \exp \left( \frac{-\left(x - x_j - f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t\right)^2}{4\delta t D_j} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta(x_j - \delta x_j - f_j(\dot{x}, t) \delta t) \right] dL_{a_j}(t). \tag{22}
\]

where \(t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = t, t_0 = t_0 + n\delta t \ (n = 0, 1, \ldots, N) \) and \(x^n = x(t_0)\).

The path integral solution is just the solution of the FPK equation. Although we did not directly derive the path integral solution.
Fig. 1. Probability density functions for the system (35) when $\beta = 1$, $\omega_0 = -1$, $\delta = 2$, $D_2 = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.0$. (a),(b) are the stationary joint probability density functions. (c),(d) are stationary marginal probability density functions comparison with the analytical solutions, and (e),(f) are their corresponding log-log plots.
Fig. 2. Probability density functions for the system (35) when $\beta = 1$, $\omega_0 = -1$, $\delta = 2$, $D_1 = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 1.5$. 
from the FPK equation, we can verify its correctness by proving that the path integral solution satisfies the FPK equation
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t | x_0, t_0) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ f_j(x, t) p(x, t | x_0, t_0) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{ij} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_j}}{\partial |x_j|^{\alpha_j}} \left[ \left| g_j \right|^{\nu_j} p(x, t | x_0, t_0) \right].
\]  
(23)

The above equation is obtained through the fractional FPK Eq. (11) by specifying the matrix $g(X, t) = \text{diag}(0, \ldots, 0, g_{r+1}(X, t), g_{r+2}(X, t), \ldots, g_n(X, t))$ with $g_i(X, t) \neq 0 (i = r + 1, \ldots, n)$.

For the sake of simplicity, the proof is performed in Fourier space to avoid directly dealing with the intractable fractional-order derivatives. The characteristic function of the path integral solution in Fourier space can be rewritten as
\[
Z_{\Phi}(k, t + \delta t) = \mathcal{F}[p(x, t + \delta t)]
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}[p(x, t + \delta t | x(t), t)] p(x, t) d\mathbf{x}
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left[ i k_j (\xi_j + f_j(x, t) \delta t) \right] \right] \prod_{j=r+1}^{n} \exp \left( i k_j (\xi_j + f_j(x, t) \delta t) - \delta t D_{ij} \left| g_j(x, t) \right|^{\nu_j} \right) p(x, t) d\mathbf{x}
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left[ i k_j \xi_j \right] \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( -i \delta t D_{ij} \left| g_j(x, t) \right|^{\nu_j} \right) p(x, t) d\mathbf{x}
\]
\[
+ \delta t \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} D_{ij} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial |x_j|^{\alpha_j}} \left( \left| g_j(x, t) \right|^{\nu_j} p(x, t) \right) \right] + O(\delta t^2). 
\]  
(24)

The inverse Fourier transformation of the above equation is
\[
p(x, t + \delta t) = p(x, t) - \delta t \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f_j(x, t) p(x, t))
\]
\[
+ \delta t \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} D_{ij} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_j}}{\partial |x_j|^{\alpha_j}} \left( \left| g_j(x, t) \right|^{\nu_j} p(x, t) \right) + O(\delta t^2). 
\]  
(25)

Fig. 3. Marginal probability density functions for the system (35) when $\beta = 1, \alpha_0 = -1, \delta = 2, D_0 = 0.1$ and $\sigma = 1.5$. (a),(b) are for $x_1$ and $x_2$, and (c),(d) are their corresponding log-log plots.
Reshaping Eq. (25) and taking the limit $\delta t \to 0$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ f_j(x, t) p(x, t) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} D_{ij} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_j}}{\partial |x_j|^{\alpha_j}} \left[ |x_j|^{\alpha_j} p(x, t) \right].$$

(26)

Considering the initial condition $X(t_0) = x_0$, the FPK equation can be rewritten as Eq. (23).

According to the path integral theory, for any n-dimensional SDE, we need to perform the following two steps to obtain the path integral solution. First, the corresponding short-time transition probability density function $p(x, t + \delta t | \vec{x}, t)$ is derived from the SDE, where the derivation of the short-time transition probability density function is obvious according to previous discussion. And then starting from the initial conditions, the short-time transition probability density function is used for the step-by-step iteration of the CKS equation to get the path integral solution at each moment. Without any tricks, the one-step iterative formula can be numerically implemented as follows:

$$p(x, t + \delta t)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x \mid \vec{x}, t) p(x, t) d\vec{x}$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=-N_1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=-N_2}^{N_2} \cdots \sum_{i_n=-N_n}^{N_n} p(x, t + \delta t | \vec{x}_{i_1}, \vec{x}_{i_2}, \cdots, \vec{x}_{i_n}, t)$$

$$\cdots p(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \cdots, x_{i_n}, t) \Delta x_{i_1} \Delta x_{i_2} \cdots \Delta x_{i_n},$$

(27)

where $2N_k (k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n)$ is the number of grid points divided in the $x_k$ direction, and $\Delta x_k$ is the grid size. Considering the same discretization at time $t$ and $t + \delta t$, the one-step transition matrix, whose elements are $p(x, t + \delta t | \vec{x}, t) = \sum_{i_1=-N_1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=-N_2}^{N_2} \cdots \sum_{i_n=-N_n}^{N_n} p(x, t + \delta t | \vec{x}_{i_1}, \vec{x}_{i_2}, \cdots, \vec{x}_{i_n}, t) \Delta x_{i_1} \Delta x_{i_2} \cdots \Delta x_{i_n}$, has a dimension of $(2N_1 \times 2N_2 \times \cdots \times 2N_n)^2$. It can be seen that the iteration of the path integral solution leads to the curse of dimensionality as the dimension increases. The path integral method for one-dimensional examples is clearly valid and well studied, and we also experimented with SDOF systems and got some convincing results. In this section, we mainly focused on the two-dimensional and three-dimensional examples, where some tricks are used to address storage and computation issues. The path integral solutions in figures are marked with PI. To verify the correctness, the Monte Carlo solutions are calculated as a comparison, and marked with MC in the figures.

The SDOF systems with $\alpha$-stable Lévy white noise can be modelled by the following SDE

$$\begin{cases}
\ddot{X} + f(X, \dot{X}) = \xi_\alpha(t), \\
X(0) = X_0, \dot{X}(0) = \dot{X}_0.
\end{cases}$$

(28)

In the above, $f(X, \dot{X})$ is a nonlinear function of $X$ and $\dot{X}$. And, $\xi_\alpha(t)$ is $\alpha$-stable Lévy white noise with the characteristic function $Z(k) = \exp(-D_\alpha |k|^\alpha)$, where $D_\alpha$ and $\alpha$ are the noise intensity and the stability index of the $\alpha$-stable Lévy white noise, respectively. $\xi_\alpha(t)$ is the formal time derivative of a symmetric Lévy stable processes $L_\alpha(t)$.
Letting $X = [X, X']^T = [X_1, X_2]$, then Eq. (28) can be rewritten in vector form as Eq. (1)

$$
\{ \dot{X} = f(X) + g(X(t), X(0)) = X_0, \quad (29)
\]

where $f(X) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(X_1) \\ f_2(X_1, X_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_2 \\ -f(X_1, X_2) \end{bmatrix}$, $g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\xi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \xi_0(t) \end{bmatrix}$ and $X_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. And, with $n = 2, r = 1$, the corresponding FPK equation is

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x_1, x_2, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} [X_2 p(x_1, x_2, t)]
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} [f(x_1, x_2, t) p(x_1, x_2, t)] + D_L \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} p(x_1, x_2, t),
$$

with the deterministic initial condition $p(x_1, x_2, 0) = \delta(x_1 - X_0) \delta(x_2 - X_0)$ or any assigned distribution. Starting from the initial condition, the path integral solution $p(x_1, x_2, t)$ can be obtained through the formula (22), among which the short time transition probability density function is specified according to Eq. (20) as

$$
p(x_1^t, x_2^t, t_0|x_1^{t_0}, x_2^{t_0}, t_{n-1}) = \frac{\delta(x_1^t - x_1^{t_0} - \delta t)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i k x_2^t) \exp[i k (x_2^{t_0} - f(x_1^{t_0}, x_2^{t_0}) \delta t) - \delta t D_L |k|^2] dk.
$$

where $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = t$, $t_0 = t_0 + n\delta t$ ($n = 0, 1, \cdots, N$) and $x^t = X(t) = (x_1(t_n), x_2(t_n)) = (x_1^t, x_2^t)$.

And, the probability density function $p(x_1^t, x_2^t, t_n)$ is

$$
p(x_1^t, x_2^t, t_n)
= \frac{1}{2\pi \delta t D_L |k|^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i k x_2^t) \exp[i k (x_2^{t_0} - f(x_1^{t_0}, x_2^{t_0}) \delta t) - \delta t D_L |k|^2] dk.
$$

The above iteration can be implemented by the space partition $x_{1,i} = a + i \Delta x_1 (i_1 = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, L(L = (b - a)/\Delta x_1)$ and $x_{2,i} = c + i \Delta x_2 (i_2 = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, M, M = (d - c)/\Delta x_2)$ based on a finite domain $\Omega = \{ x_1, x_2 \} |a \leq x_1 \leq b, c \leq x_2 \leq d \}$, which is assumed to contain all the probability density functions. Then the discretized iteration formula can be rewritten as

$$
p(x_1^{t_n}, x_2^{t_n}, t_n)
= \sum_{i_1=0}^{M} \sum_{i_2=0}^{M} q^{x_1_{i_1}, x_2_{i_2}, t_n|x_1^{t_{n-1}}, x_2^{t_{n-1}}} p(x_1^{t_{n-1}}, x_2^{t_{n-1}}, t_{n-1}) \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2.
$$

Fig. 5. Marginal stationary probability density functions for the system (40) when $f(x) = 0.1x - x^2$, $h(x) = \sqrt{T + UT}$, $T = 0.2$, $D_L = 0.02$ and $\alpha = 1.8$. (a),(b) are for $x_1$ and $x_2$, and (c),(d) are their corresponding log-log plots.
Fig. 6. Probability density functions for the system (40) when $f(x) = 0.1x - x^3$, $h(x) = \sqrt{1 + 0.1x}$, $\tau = 0.2$, $D_1 = D = 0.02$ and $\alpha = 1.8$. 
where
\[ q(x_{1,i}, x_{2,j}, t_i | x_{1,i-1}, t_{i-1}) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\pi} \exp [-\Delta t D_\alpha |k|^\alpha] \cos [k(x_{1,i} - x_{1,i-1} \Delta t) + D_\alpha |x_{2,j}|^\alpha] \mathrm{dk}, \]
and the value of non-grid points in the above equation can be obtained by interpolation.

Considering the Duffing oscillator with additive Lévy noise,
\[ \ddot{X} + \beta \dot{X} + \omega_0 X + \delta X^3 = \xi_\alpha(t), \]
\[ X(0, \dot{X}(0) = 0, \]
whose corresponding FPK equation is (letting \( X = (X_1, X_2)^T \))
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x_1, x_2, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} [x_2 p(x_1, x_2, t)] + D_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial [|x_2|^2] |p(x_1, x_2, t)|^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left( \beta x_2 - \alpha \omega_0 x_1 + \delta |x_1|^2 \right) p(x_1, x_2, t). \]

When \( \alpha = 2 \), it has stationary solution
\[ p(x_1, x_2) = \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta}{2D_\alpha} \left( x_2^2 - \alpha \omega_0 x_1^2 + \delta |x_1|^2 \right) \right]. \]

For the numerical implementation, we can refer to our study of article about the path integral method of SDOF system for simplified and parallel computation of the short-time transition probability density function [22]. In the following numerical results, the spatial variables are discretized as \([-5 : 0.02 : 5] \times [-5 : 0.01 : 5] \) and time step is 0.01.

In Fig. 1, we present the path integral solution, the Monte Carlo solution and the analytical solution of system (35) at \( \alpha = 2.0 \). In this figure, Fig. 1a and 1b are the joint probability density functions of the system, which are displayed by surface plots and contour plots. The results show that the two numerical results fit well. Figure 1c and 1d present the marginal probability density functions of \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) relative to the analytical solution, and the results are well fitted. A good fit of the marginal and joint probability density functions indicates the correctness of the path integral results. In addition, Fig. 1e and 1f present log-log plots of the marginal probability density functions, Monte Carlo results with \( 1 \times 10^6 \) sample paths calculate probability density function values as low as \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \), and path integral solution can be calculated even as low as \( 1 \times 10^{-15} \), which indicates the high precision of the path integral solution.

Figure 2 presents the transient solution of the system (35) at some moments from the initial to the stationary when \( \alpha = 1.5 \). Due to the lack of an analytical solution, we adopted the Monte
Carlo solution for comparison with the path integral solution. The computational cost of the path integral solutions and the Monte Carlo solutions with $1 \times 10^5$ sample paths are $4.75831 \times 10^4$ s and $2.12310 \times 10^5$ s, respectively. The contour plot of the joint probability density function of the system at time $t = 0.2, 5.30$ is presented. The Monte Carlo solution is consistent with the path integral solution, indicating the effectiveness of path integral method in solving the transient solution, and the same contour lines indicate that the accuracy is at least $1 \times 10^{-3}$. The marginal probability density function of the transient solution is presented in Fig. 3. The path integral solutions and the Monte Carlo solutions fit well at each moment, and their log-log plots show that the precision is higher than $10^{-3}$ by enlarging the tail region.

The scalar SDE with colored Gaussian parametric noise and Lévy external noise reads

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{X}(t) &= f(X, t) + h(X, t)\xi_c(t) + \xi_a(t), \\
X(0) &= x_0.
\end{align*}$$

(38)

where $\xi_c(t)$ and $\xi_a(t)$ are colored Gaussian and $\alpha$-stable Lévy white noises, and both noise sources are considered to be independent from another. Moreover, $f(X, t)$ and $h(X, t)$ are functions of $X$ and $t$, and $x_0$ is the initial value of $X(t)$ at time $t = t_0$. In the above, colored Gaussian noise is exponentially correlated with the mean value $E[\xi_c(t)] = 0$ and the correlation function $R(t) = E[\xi_c(t)\xi_c(t')] = \frac{\xi_0^2}{\tau} \exp\left(-\frac{t-t'}{\tau}\right)$. This colored Gaussian noise can be expressed by a Langevin equation

$$\dot{\xi}_c(t) = -\frac{1}{\tau} \xi_c(t) + \sqrt{2D}\frac{\xi}{\tau} t,$$

(39)

where $\xi(t)$ is standard Gaussian white noise.

Letting $X = [X, \xi_c]^T = [X_1, X_2]^T$, Eq. (38) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{X}_1(t) &= f(X_1, X_2, t) + h(X_1, X_2, t)X_2 + \xi_c(t), \\
\dot{X}_2(t) &= \frac{1}{\tau} X_2 + \sqrt{2D}\frac{\xi}{\tau} t,
\end{align*}$$

(40)

with the initial condition

$$\begin{align*}
X_1(0) &= X_0 = x_0, \\
X_2(0) &= \xi_c(0) = \xi_0.
\end{align*}$$

(41)

where $\xi_0$ is a random variable with given probability density function. And this equation can be written in vector form as

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{X}(t) &= f(X, t) + g(X, t)\xi(t), \\
X(t) &= x_0,
\end{align*}$$

(42)

where $f(X) = \left[f(X_1, X_2, t) + h(X_1, X_2, t)X_2 \right]/\tau$, $g = \left[1 0 \sqrt{2D}\frac{\xi}{\tau} t\right]$, $\xi(t) = \left[\xi_0(t) \xi_a(t)\right]$ and $x_0 = \left[x_0 0\right]$. With $n = 2$ and $r = 2$, the corresponding PP equation is

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x_1, x_2, t) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left[ f(x_1, x_2, t) + h(x_1, x_2, t) x_2 \right] p(x_1, x_2, t) \\
&- \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left[ -\frac{1}{\tau} x_2 p(x_1, x_2, t) \right] + D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial |x|^2} \left[ 2D \frac{p(x_1, x_2, t)}{\tau^2} \right,
\end{align*}$$

(43)

with the initial condition $p(x_1, x_2, 0) = p_{x_0}(x_1) p_{\xi_0}(x_2)$. Starting from the initial condition, the path integral solution $p(x_1, x_2, t)$ can be obtained through the formula (22). Among which the short time transition probability density function is specified according to Eq. (20) as

$$\begin{align*}
p(x_1^n, x_2^n, t_0 | x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}, t_{n-1}) &= \mathcal{N} \left[ x_1^n \left[ f(x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}) + \frac{1}{\tau} x_2^{n-1} \right] - \frac{1}{\tau} x_2^{n-1} \right] \\
&+ \mathcal{N} \left[ x_2^n \left[ f(x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}) + \frac{1}{\tau} x_2^{n-1} \right] - \frac{1}{\tau} x_2^{n-1} \right],
\end{align*}$$

(44)

where $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = t$, $x_n = x(t_n) = (x_1(t_n), x_2(t_n)) = (x_1^n, x_2^n)$. With this transition probability
density function, the probability density function \( p(x_1^n, x_2^n, t_n) \) can be calculated as

\[
p(x_1^n, x_2^n, t_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x_1^n, x_2^n, t_n | x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}, t_{n-1}) \, dx_1^{n-1} \, dx_2^{n-1} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{L} \sum_{j_2=0}^{M} p(x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}, t_{n-1}) \, \delta_{x_1} \, \delta_{x_2}.
\]

(45)

Since the component \( x_2 \) is Gaussian distributed, a part of the transition probability density function can be integrated into an exponential analytical form, which reduces the one-fold integration of the transition probability density function calculation. After that, we only need to solve the problem of storage capacity of the transition matrix in numerical implementation. When implementing numerically, we perform each step of the path integral iteration by reshaping the matrix into a low-dimensional matrix, and divide the region like the SDOF system when the matrix is very large to speed up the calculation of the transition matrix.

We calculate the stationary solution of the system under two different sets of parameters and verify them with the Monte Carlo solution, which are presented in Fig. 4. In numerical implementation, the space partition is selected as \([-1.5 : 0.01 : 1.5] \times [-2 : 0.02 : 2]\) and the time-step is 0.01 for the path integral and Monte Carlo solution. The running time of the path integral solutions and the Monte Carlo solutions with \(1 \times 10^8\) sample paths are 6.11112 \(\times 10^4\) s and 1.89466 \(\times 10^5\) s, respectively. The same shape and color of the three dimensional surface plots show the correctness of the path integral solutions. Comparing the results of these two sets of parameters, the path integral method can not only capture the results of unimodal, but is also effective in the complicated bimodal case.

In Fig. 5, we also present the stationary marginal probability density functions and their log-log plots. The results show that the path integral solutions of the marginal probability density functions of \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) fit well with the Monte Carlo solutions with \(1 \times 10^8\) sample paths. The log-log plots are also fitted, which shows that our path integral method can accurately calculate the PDF value down to around \(10^{-5}\) by enlarging the tail region of the probability density function.

Then, in order to show the effectiveness of the path integral method for the transient solutions, we present the contour plots of the transient probability density functions of the bimodal example at \(t = 0, 2, 5, 30\) in Fig. 6, and annotate the contours on the plots. The results show that the contour plot of the path integral solution and the Monte Carlo solution at each moment are consistent, indi-
Fig. 10. Marginal joint probability density functions for the system (47) at $t = 1$ when $f(x, x) = x - x$, $h(x, x) = 0.1$, $\tau = 0.2$, $D_\mu = 0.02$, $D = 0.02$ and $\alpha = 1.8$. (a)/(b) are for $p(x_1, x_2)$, (c)/(d) are for $p(x_2, x_3)$, and (e)/(f) are for $p(x_1, x_3)$. cating that the path integral method can accurately determine the transient solutions at each moment. In Fig. 7, the marginal probability density functions are presented. The probability density function of $x_1$ is fitted at $10^{-3}$ and the probability density function of $x_2$ is fitted at $10^{-6}$, respectively.

For generality, the path integral solutions with $\alpha = 0.9$ is presented in Figs. 8 and 9. In numerical implementation, the space partition is selected as $[-1.5:0.01:1.5] \times [-1.6:0.02:1.6]$ and the time-step is 0.05 for the path integral and Monte Carlo solution. Running time of the path integral solutions and Monte Carlo solutions with $1 \times 10^8$ sample paths are $4.33232 \times 10^4 s$ and $4.03310 \times 10^4 s$, respectively. The same surface plots and contour lines of the stationary joint probability density function in Fig. 8 and the good fits of the marginal probability density function in Fig. 9 show the correctness of the path integral solutions.

The second order stochastic differential equation with colored Gaussian parametric noise and Lévy external noise reads

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{X}(t) &= f(X, X, t) + h(X, X, t)\xi_c(t) + \xi_\alpha(t), \\
X(0) &= x_0, \\
\dot{X}(0) &= x_0,
\end{aligned}
\]

(46)

where the definition of $\xi_c(t)$ and $\xi_\alpha(t)$ can refer to previous paragraph. $f(X, X, t)$ and $h(X, X, t)$ are functions of $X$, $\dot{X}$ and $t$, $x_0$ and $x_0$ are the initial value of $X(t)$ and $\dot{X}(t)$ at time $t = t_0$. 

Revised
Letting $X = [X, \dot{X}, \xi]^T = [X_1, X_2, X_3]^T$. Eq. (46) can be rewritten in vector form as
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{X}(t) &= f(X, t) + g(X, t)\xi(t), \\
X(0) &= x_0.
\end{align*}
\tag{47}
\]
In the above equation, $f(X) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\
0 \\
0 \end{bmatrix}$, $g(X, t)\xi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
0 \\
0 \end{bmatrix}$, and $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\\nX_0 \\\n\xi_{10}\end{bmatrix}$. With $n = 3$ and $r = 2$, the corresponding FPK equation is
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) & = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left[ f(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) p(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left[ x_2 p(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \right] - \frac{1}{\tau} x_3 p(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \\
& \quad + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} \left[ 2D \frac{D}{\tau} p(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \right) \left[ 2D \frac{D}{\tau^2} p(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \right],
\end{align*}
\tag{48}
\]
where the initial condition $p(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) = \delta(x_1 - x_0) \delta(x_2 - x_{10}) p_{x_0}(x_3)$. Starting from the initial condition, the path integral solution $p(x_1, x_2, x_3, t)$ can be obtained through the formula (22). Among which the short time transition probability density function is specified according to Eq. (20) as
\[
p(x_1^n, x_2^n, t_n | x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}, x_3^{n-1}, t_{n-1}) = \delta(x_1^n - x_1^{n-1} - x_2^{n-1} \delta t) \\
\times \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau^2} \exp \left( ik_2 (x_2^{n-1} + (f(x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}) + h(x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}, x_3^{n-1}) - \delta D_t |k_2|^2) \right) \right] \\
\times \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau^2} \exp \left( i k_2 \left( x_1^{n-1} - \frac{1}{\tau} x_3^{n-1} \delta t \right) - \frac{1}{2} \delta t \frac{2D}{\tau^2} |k_2|^2 \right) \right]
\]
\[
= \delta(x_1^n - x_1^{n-1} - x_2^{n-1} \delta t) \\
\times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left( -ik_2 x_2^{n-1} \right) \exp \left( ik_2 (x_2^{n-1} + (f(x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}) + h(x_1^{n-1}, x_2^{n-1}, x_3^{n-1}) - \delta D_t |k_2|^2) \right) dk_2.
\]

The path integral solution is calculated by space partition $[-1 : 0.02 : 1] \times [-1 : 0.02 : 1] \times [-1 : 0.02 : 1]$ and the time-step is 0.01 for the path integral and Monte Carlo solution. The transition probability density function of the path integral method is calculated parallelly by dividing the region into 8 different parts, and the calculation time of each part is about 4428.42 s. The computational cost of Monte Carlo solutions with $2 \times 10^5$ sample paths is 3.5998 x 10^5 s. The marginal probability density function of $p(x_1, x_2)$, $p(x_1, x_3)$, $p(x_2, x_3)$ in Fig. 10 and $p(x_1)$, $p(x_2)$, $p(x_3)$ in Fig. 11 show the good agreement of path integral solutions and Monte Carlo solutions.

In this paper, we mainly derive and verify the path integral solution for n-dimensional SDE under the excitation of $\alpha$-stable Lévy noise. Specifically, we deduce the short-time transition probability density function required by the path integral method of n-dimensional SDE and prove its correctness. After that, two special examples were selected for numerical implementation, which show the correctness and effectiveness of the derivation. The path integral formulas we derived are obviously valid and usable for
higher-dimensional examples when solving the problems of computation and storage, although only three-dimensional examples have been numerically implemented. In order to be able to solve this problem, we can try to convert the problem into an equivalent operation that does not require multiple integrations and storage of large matrices, or solve it with the help of neural networks that have become very popular in recent years for high-dimensional problems.
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